



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

#9

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 9

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Barth (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Anthony Caputo (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 7/26/01

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending

Identification of prior art discussed: not applicable

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative pointed out that claims 20, 56, and 87 were not noted in the restriction mailed July 2, 2001. Upon review it was agreed that a new restriction would be mailed with the time period restored pertaining to the claimed noted above.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2.  Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved of providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

*AFC*

10

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. <b>09/499,662</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Serizawa et al</b>
	Examiner <b>Ungar</b>	Group Art Unit <b>1642</b>

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ungar \_\_\_\_\_ (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Barth \_\_\_\_\_ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview August 2001

Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference  
c)  Personal [copy is given to 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: All pending

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Attny called and stated that Restriction of 7/2/01 has errors, claims 20, 56 and 87 are not listed in any group. Further, Claims 7-11, 14-18, 20, 30, 76-79 and 92-99 should be rejoined with Group 1. Examiner will vacate the restriction requirement of 7/2/01 and send a restriction that addresses the issues raised by Attorney

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.